



not file a pro se motion for release on recognizance. Complainant points out that he filed a motion for unconditional release, not release on recognizance.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy for judicial conduct that is prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. Excluded from coverage under the Act are allegations that are "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). Inserting misconduct proceedings into the adjudicative process would cause the process to be far less effective and expeditious. In re Memorandum of Decision, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

Complainant's objections to the judge's consideration and disposition of his pro se motion for unconditional release must be raised on appeal from the judge's decision; they do not form the basis for a complaint of judicial misconduct.

Accordingly, this judicial complaint is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
William B. Traxler, Jr.  
Chief Judge