



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

In the Matters of	*	Nos.	04-15-90122
			04-15-90123
Judicial Complaints	*		04-15-90124
			04-15-90125
Under 28 U.S.C. § 351	*		04-15-90126
			04-15-90127
			04-15-90128
			04-15-90129
			04-15-90130
			04-15-90131
			04-15-90132
			04-15-90133
			04-15-90134

ORDER

In September 2015, complainant filed 13 judicial complaints under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, naming four district judges and a bankruptcy judge.¹ The complaints made multiple allegations but set forth no factual basis for finding that any of the judges engaged in misconduct or suffered from a disability. The complaints were dismissed by the Chief Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii), and

¹Judicial Complaint Nos. 04-15-90122, 04-15-90123, 04-15-90124, 04-15-90125, 04-15-90126, 04-15-90127, 04-15-90128, 04-15-90129, 04-15-90130, 04-15-90131, 04-15-90132, 04-15-90133, 04-15-90134.

complainant's petitions for review to the Judicial Council were denied.

The Judicial Council also ordered complainant to show cause within 30 days why his right to file further judicial complaints should not be limited based on his abuse of the judicial complaint procedure.² See Rule 10, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. Complainant has responded to the order to show cause. He has also filed 31 additional judicial complaints.³

In response to the show cause order, complainant alleges that the judges are acting illegally; that he has been unable to obtain the due process that is his constitutional right; that the judges have exhibited racial, ethnic, and gender bias; and that he has been the victim of fraud, abuse, and criminal cover-ups. Complainant's responses to the show cause order, like his judicial complaints, raise matters that are directly

² Due to complainant's transfer to another facility, the order to show cause was initially returned as undeliverable. The order was subsequently mailed to complainant's new address, and he was given thirty more days in which to respond.

³ Judicial Complaint Nos. 04-15-90147, 04-15-90148, 04-15-90149, 04-15-90150, 04-15-90151, 04-15-90152, 04-15-90153, 04-15-90154, 04-15-90155, 04-15-90156, 04-15-90157, 04-15-90158, 04-15-90159, 04-15-90160, 04-15-90187, 04-15-90188, 04-15-90189, 04-15-90216, 04-15-90217, 04-15-90218, 04-15-90219, 04-16-90003, 04-16-90004, 04-16-90005, 04-16-90006, 04-16-90007, 04-16-90008, 04-16-90009, 04-16-90010, 04-16-90011, 04-16-90016.

related to the merits of the judges' rulings and that fail to establish judicial misconduct. Complainant having failed to set forth any cause why restrictions should not be imposed to prevent future repetitive and frivolous filings,

IT IS ORDERED that complainant shall not file any new judicial complaints in this circuit without first obtaining leave to file from the Chief Judge. If a complaint is submitted without a motion for leave to file, the clerk will inform complainant that it will not be considered. If the Chief Judge denies leave to file, the clerk will notify complainant that leave to file has been denied. If the Chief Judge grants leave to file, the complaint will proceed in the normal course.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

FOR THE COUNCIL:



Paul V. Niemeyer
Circuit Judge

Dated: February 19, 2016