




ruling" are not subject to review through a complaint of judicial misconduct or disability. To avoid the merits-related bar, a misconduct claim must contain "clear and convincing evidence of an arbitrary and intentional departure from, or willful indifference to prevailing law." In re Memorandum of Decision, 517 F.3d 558, 562 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

Misconduct may also be based upon a showing that the judge's rulings were motivated by racial or ethnic bias or other improper motive, but the claim must be supported by sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred and cannot be based on mere speculation. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 3(h)(3)(A), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings; In re Doe, 2 F.3d 308 (8th Cir. 1993) (judicial complaint process may not be used to pursue speculative claims).

Complainant has failed to present, and the records do not disclose, any evidence of willful indifference to prevailing law, racial bias, or other misconduct. Complainant's disagreement with the judge's rulings may be raised on appeal but may not be pursued through a complaint of judicial misconduct.

Accordingly, this judicial complaint is dismissed as merits-related and lacking in factual support. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William B. Traxler, Jr.  
Chief Judge