

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-1023

JOYCE DAVIS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY/MEDICAL COL-
LEGE OF VIRGINIA; MICHAEL OLIVER, individually
and in his official capacity as Nurse Manager,
Virginia Commonwealth University,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

AMY NECHAMKIN, individually and in her offi-
cial capacity as Office Manager, Psychiatry
Department, Virginia Commonwealth University,

Defendant.

No. 00-1062

JOYCE DAVIS,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY/MEDICAL COL-
LEGE OF VIRGINIA,

Defendant - Appellant,

and

AMY NECHAMKIN, individually and in her official capacity as Office Manager, Psychiatry Department, Virginia Commonwealth University; MICHAEL OLIVER, individually and in his official capacity as Nurse Manager, Virginia Commonwealth University,

Defendants.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, District Judge. (CA-96-496)

Submitted: January 31, 2001

Decided: February 9, 2001

Before WIDENER, LUTTIG, and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges.

No. 00-1023 affirmed and No. 00-1062 dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Beverly D. Crawford, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellant. Mark L. Earley, Attorney General of Virginia, Judith W. Jagdmann, Deputy Attorney General, Guy W. Horsley, Jr., Senior Assistant Attorney General and Chief, Sydney E. Rab, Assistant Attorney General, Pamela F. Boston, Special Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In No. 00-1023, Joyce Davis appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment for Defendants in her suit under the Americans with Disabilities Act. In No. 00-1062, Defendants cross appeal the denial of Eleventh Amendment immunity. We previously granted Davis's motion to submit the case without oral argument. Regarding the merits of Davis's suit, we have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm Davis's appeal on the reasoning of the district court. Davis v. Virginia Commonwealth Univ., No. CA-96-496 (E.D. Va. Dec. 14, 1999). Because this ruling disposes of the case, we decline to address the Eleventh Amendment issue and, therefore, dismiss Defendants' appeal.

No. 00-1023 - AFFIRMED

No. 00-1062 - DISMISSED