

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-1137

RUBY G. SMITH,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

CENTER FORD, INCORPORATED, a Virginia corporation, doing business under the fictitious name of Hall Ford; HALL AUTO WORLD, INCORPORATED, a Virginia corporation,

Defendants - Appellants.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Amicus Curiae.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Newport News. Jerome B. Friedman, District Judge. (CA-98-131-4)

Submitted: November 7, 2000

Decided: November 17, 2000

Before MICHAEL, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

F. Bradford Stillman, Brian N. Casey, TAYLOR & WALKER, P.C., Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellants. Jay J. Levit, LEVIT, MANN & HALLIGAN, P.C., Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee. F. Gregory Stewart, General Counsel, Philip B. Sklover, Associate General

Counsel, Vincent J. Blackwood, Assistant General Counsel, Julie L. Gantz, EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, Washington, D.C., for Amicus Curiae.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Center Ford, Inc. and Hall Auto World, Inc. appeal the district court's order denying their motion to dismiss Ruby G. Smith's complaint alleging sexual harassment and retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (West 1994 & Supp. 2000). We have reviewed the record and the district's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Smith v. Center Ford, Inc., No. CA-98-131-4 (E.D. Va. Nov. 8, 1999); see also Puryear v. County of Roanoke, 214 F.3d 514 (4th Cir. 2000). We grant counsel F. Bradford Stillman's motion to withdraw. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED