

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-1893**

---

LEWIS BANKS; BEVERLY BANKS,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

STONEYBROOK APARTMENT,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Durham. William L. Osteen, District Judge. (CA-99-561-1)

---

Submitted: October 12, 2000

Decided: October 19, 2000

---

Before WILLIAMS and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Lewis Banks, Beverly Banks, Appellants Pro Se. Stanley Leigh Rodenbough, IV, Harold Arthur Bolick, II, BROOKS, PIERCE, MCLENDON, HUMPHREY & LEONARD, Greensboro, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Lewis and Beverly Banks appeal from the district court's order dismissing their complaint filed under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C.A. §§ 1681-1681u (West Supp. 2000). Our review of the record and the district court's opinion discloses no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Banks v. Stoneybrook Apartment, No. CA-99-561-1 (M.D.N.C. June 1, 2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED