

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-6020**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

CARLOS TREVINO,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. James C. Fox, District Judge. (CR-94-78-1, CA-99-340-5-F)

---

Submitted: March 23, 2000

Decided: March 30, 2000

---

Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Carlos Trevino, Appellant Pro Se. Rudolf A. Renfer, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Carlos Trevino seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 1999). Trevino's motion is styled as one pursuant to U.S.C. § 2241 (West 1994), but the relief he seeks is more appropriately brought pursuant to § 2255. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Trevino's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See United States v. Trevino, Nos. CR-94-78-1; CA-99-340-5-F (E.D.N.C. Nov. 17 & Dec. 8, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED