

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 00-6240

---

AUGUSTINE PEREZ,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JAMES C. TURK; JACKSON L. KISER; NORMAN K. MOON; SAMUEL GRAYSON WILSON; GLEN E. CONRAD; J. HARVIE WILKERSON, III; H. EMORY WIDENER, JR.; FRANCIS D. MURNAGHAN, JR.; SAM J. ERVIN, III; WILLIAM WILKINS, JR.; PAUL V. NIEMEYER; CLYDE H. HAMILTON; J. MICHAEL LUTTIG; KAREN J. WILLIAMS; M. BLANE MICHAEL; DIANA GRIBON MOTZ; WILLIAM B. TRAXLER, JR.; JOHN D. BUTZNER; KENNETH K. HALL; JAMES DICKERSON PHILLIPS, JR.; ROBERT B. KING; RUSTY B. FITZGERALD; UNKNOWN LAW CLERKS; UNKNOWN COURT CLERKS; UNKNOWN STAFF ATTORNEYS,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Lynchburg. James P. Jones, District Judge. (CA-99-82-6)

---

Submitted: September 14, 2000

Decided: September 26, 2000

---

Before MICHAEL and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Augustine Perez, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Augustine Perez appeals the district court's orders denying relief on his complaint under 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915A (West Supp. 2000) and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find that this appeal is frivolous. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Perez v. Turk, No. CA-99-82-6 (W.D. Va. Jan. 12 & Jan. 27, 2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED