

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-6386**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

WALTER LEE SADLER,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Richard L. Voorhees, District Judge. (CR-95-134-V, CA-99-3-V)

---

Submitted: May 11, 2000

Decided: May 18, 2000

---

Before MURNAGHAN, LUTTIG, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Walter Lee Sadler, Appellant Pro Se. Brian Lee Whisler, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Walter Lee Sadler seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 1999). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See United States v. Sadler, Nos. CR-95-134-V; CA-99-3-V (W.D.N.C. Feb. 16, 2000).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on February 4, 2000, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on February 16, 2000. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).