

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-6436

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

FREDERICK KEITH SINGLETON,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Albert V. Bryan, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CR-95-179, CA-99-1397)

Submitted: June 15, 2000

Decided: June 23, 2000

Before NIEMEYER and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Frederick Keith Singleton, Appellant Pro Se. Charles Philip Rosenberg, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Frederick Keith Singleton seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2000), and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and orders and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See United States v. Singleton, Nos. CR-95-179; CA-99-1397 (E.D. Va. Dec. 21, 1999 & Jan. 5, 2000).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on December 20, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on December 21, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).