

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-6507**

---

ROBERT HANEY,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

JOEL H. KNOWLES, Warden; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF  
NORTH CAROLINA,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western Dis-  
trict of North Carolina, at Asheville. Graham C. Mullen, Chief  
District Judge. (CA-97-32-1-MU)

---

Submitted: July 27, 2000

Decided: August 4, 2000

---

Before MURNAGHAN, WILKINS, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Robert Haney, Appellant Pro Se. Clarence Joe DelForge, III, OFFICE  
OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA, Raleigh, North Carolina,  
for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Robert Haney seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2000). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Haney v. Knowles, No. CA-97-32-1-MU (W.D.N.C. Mar. 29, 2000).<sup>\*</sup> We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

<sup>\*</sup> Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on March 28, 2000, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on March 29, 2000. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).