

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-7326**

---

CHRISTINE ANN CARRIGAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Benson E. Legg, District Judge. (CA-00-2009)

---

Submitted: November 9, 2000

Decided: November 16, 2000

---

Before WILKINS, WILLIAMS, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Christine Ann Carrigan, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, Ann Norman Bosse, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Christine Ann Carrigan seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on her petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2000). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Carrigan v. Attorney General of Maryland, No. CA-00-2009 (D. Md. Sept. 5, 2000).<sup>\*</sup> We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

<sup>\*</sup> Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on September 1, 2000, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on September 5, 2000. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).