

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-1413

ANISSA A. IDRIS,

Petitioner,

versus

U.S. IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE;
JOHN ASHCROFT, Attorney General,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals. (A74-638-974)

Submitted: October 31, 2001

Decided: November 15, 2001

Before WIDENER, MICHAEL, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Rev. Uduak J. Ubom, Washington, D.C., for Petitioner. Stuart E. Schiffer, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Linda S. Wendtland, Assistant Director, Cindy S. Ferrier, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondents.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Anissa A. Idris, a native and citizen of Ethiopia, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) denying her application for asylum and withholding of deportation. The Board concluded that Idris failed to present credible evidence to show past persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution on account of a protected ground that would make her eligible for asylum relief. See 8 U.S.C.A. § 1158 (West 1999); 8 U.S.C.A. § 1101(a)(42)(A) (West 1999 & Supp. 2001). The Board's decision to grant or deny asylum relief is conclusive "unless manifestly contrary to the law and an abuse of discretion." 8 U.S.C.A. § 1252(b)(4)(D) (West 1999). We find that the Board correctly applied the law and did not abuse its discretion in rendering its decision here. Therefore, we deny a petition for review on the reasoning of the Board. Idris v. United States Immigration & Naturalization Serv., BIA No. A74-638-974 (B.I.A. Feb. 28, 2001). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED