

By unpublished order filed 5/29/01, panel rehearing granted for purpose of clarifying that, even considering issues raised in appellant's amended informal brief, district court correctly concluded that petition was successive.

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 01-6314**

---

JEROME SCOTT,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; CHARLES M. CONDON,  
Attorney General of the State of South  
Carolina,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Columbia. G. Ross Anderson, Jr., District Judge.  
(CA-99-3932-3-13BBC)

---

Submitted: April 12, 2001

Decided: April 25, 2001

---

Before NIEMEYER, WILLIAMS, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Jerome Scott, Appellant Pro Se. Donald John Zelenka, Chief Deputy  
Attorney General, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Jerome Scott seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2000). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Scott v. South Carolina, No. CA-99-3932-3-13BBC (D.S.C. Feb. 16, 2001). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED