

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-6708

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

JAMES M. DEBARDELEBEN,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Walter E. Black, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CR-84-43-B, CA-01-18-B)

Submitted: September 20, 2001 Decided: September 26, 2001

Before LUTTIG, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

James M. Debardeleben, Appellant Pro Se. Tarra R. DeShields-Minnis, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

James M. Debardeleben seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2001) and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See United States v. Debardeleben, Nos. CR-84-43-B; CA-01-18-B (D. Md. Jan. 26 & Feb. 20, 2001). Debardeleben's "petition for initial hearing en banc" is denied. We grant his "motion for hearing of appeal on original record without appendix." We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED