

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 01-7222**

---

NERION HAZELTON,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; CHARLES M. CONDON,  
Attorney General of the State of South  
Carolina,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Charleston. Dennis W. Shedd, District Judge.  
(CA-00-3930-19AJ)

---

Submitted: November 30, 2001

Decided: December 18, 2001

---

Before MICHAEL, MOTZ, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Nerion Hazelton, Appellant Pro Se. Donald John Zelenka, Chief  
Deputy Attorney General, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Nerion Hazelton appeals the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2001). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and find no reversible error.\* Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal substantially on the reasoning of the district court. Hazelton v. South Carolina, No. CA-00-3930-19AJ (D.S.C. June 18, 2001). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* We note that the district court applied this court's decisions in Green v. French, 143 F.3d 865, 870 (4th Cir. 1998), and Fitzgerald v. Greene, 150 F.3d 357, 362 (4th Cir. 1998). We have analyzed the petition in light of the standards set forth by the Supreme Court in Williams v. Taylor, 529 U.S. 362, 412-13 (2000). Applying Williams, we reach the same conclusion as did the district court: Hazelton is not entitled to habeas relief on any of his claims.