

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-7387

WILLIAM A. VENEY,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

RONALD ANGELONE, Director,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, District Judge. (CA-01-6-3)

Submitted: January 18, 2002

Decided: February 7, 2002

Before NIEMEYER, TRAXLER, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William A. Veney, Appellant Pro Se. Eugene Paul Murphy, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

William A. Veney appeals the district court's dismissal of his petition for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2001). He alleges the district court erred by failing to appoint counsel to assist him and failing to liberally construe his pro se § 2254 petition. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. See Murray v. Giarratano, 492 U.S. 1, 7-8 (1989); Beaudett v. City of Hampton, 775 F.2d 1274 (4th Cir. 1985). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED