

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 02-2029**

---

WARREN B. ANDERSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES SECRETARY OF THE NAVY,  
Washington, DC; THOMAS P. MARZILLE, Special  
Agent, Naval Criminal Investigation Service,  
Camp Lejeune, NC; JAMES DURVIN, Special Agent,  
Naval Criminal Investigation Service, Camp  
Lejeune, NC; B. T. BABIN, Colonel, United  
States Marine Corps, NC; N. T. HARTENSTEIN,  
Colonel, United States Marine Corps, NC;  
WILLIAM MICHAEL BROWN, Captain, United States  
Marine Corps, NC; JAMES WOODWORTH, Captain,  
United States Marine Corps, NC; JAMES T.  
MCCOLGAN, III, Captain, United States Marine  
Corps, NC; LAUGHINGHOUSE, Colonel, Staff Judge  
Advocate, United States Marine Corps, NC; J.  
A. BUKAUSKAS, Major, United States Marine  
Corps, NC; P. G. HOWARD, General, Convening  
Authority, Marine Corps Base, North Carolina;  
DALE E. ANDERSON, Major, Appellate Division,  
United States Marine Corps, Washington, DC;  
MARK STEVENS, Colonel, Retired United States  
Marine Corps, NC; CONNIE CROCKER, Department  
of Social Service, Jacksonville, NC; GEOLF  
ENGELSTETTER, Dr., Clinical Psychologist,  
Jacksonville, NC; JAMES L. JONES,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of North Carolina, at Wilmington. James C. Fox, Senior  
District Judge. (CA-01-224-7-F-1)



---

Submitted: December 16, 2002

Decided: December 19, 2002

---

Before LUTTIG, MICHAEL, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Warren B. Anderson, Appellant Pro Se. Rudolf A. Renfer, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina; Mark Allen Davis, WOMBLE, CARLYLE, SANDRIDGE & RICE, Raleigh, North Carolina; Scott Christopher Hart, SUMRELL, SUGG, CARMICHAEL, HICKS & HART, P.A., New Bern, North Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Warren B. Anderson appeals the district court's order granting the Defendants' motions to dismiss his civil action stemming from a previous military court conviction. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Anderson v. United States Sec'y of the Navy, No. CA-01-224-7-F-1 (E.D.N.C. June 28, 2002). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED