

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 02-2393**

---

DORA P. PETTIFORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN  
RESOURCES; CAROL DONIN,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle  
District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. Frank W. Bullock, Jr.,  
District Judge. (CA-01-485-1)

---

Submitted: April 24, 2003

Decided: May 19, 2003

---

Before NIEMEYER and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Dora P. Pettiford, Appellant Pro Se. Thomas Matthew Woodward,  
Deputy Attorney General, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Dora P. Pettiford appeals the jury verdict in favor of her former employer, the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Resources, in her civil action in which she alleged retaliatory treatment based upon her complaints of racial discrimination. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. In reviewing a jury verdict, we do not weigh evidence or review witness credibility. See United States v. Saunders, 886 F.2d 56, 60 (4th Cir. 1989). If, when taken in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, there is substantial evidence to support the verdict, it must be sustained. Vodrey v. Golden, 864 F.2d 28, 30 n.4 (4th Cir. 1988). Because we conclude substantial evidence supported the jury verdict, we affirm. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED