

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 02-7945**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

WILLIAM HAZEL, a/k/a Beanie,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Robert E. Payne, District Judge. (CR-92-163-N, CA-01-467-2)

---

Submitted: March 6, 2003

Decided: March 14, 2003

---

Before WILKINSON, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

William Hazel, Appellant Pro Se. Laura Marie Everhart, Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

William Hazel seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Hazel has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_, 2003 WL 431659, at \*10 (U.S. Feb. 25, 2003) (No. 01-7662). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED