

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 02-7949**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

WILLIAM VANDROSS,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Patrick Michael Duffy, District Judge. (CR-96-524, CA-00-3513-23-2)

---

Submitted: September 8, 2003

Decided: September 16, 2003

---

Before WIDENER, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

William Vandross, Appellant Pro Se. Miller Williams Shealy, Jr., OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Charleston, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

William Vandross seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Vandross has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 US. 322 (2003). Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED