

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 03-4028

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

SHANNON JUSTICE,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of Virginia, at Abingdon. James P. Jones, District Judge.
(CR-00-77)

Submitted: June 12, 2003

Decided: June 26, 2003

Before WILLIAMS, KING, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael A. Bragg, BRAGG & ASSOCIATES, P.L.C., Abingdon, Virginia,
for Appellant. John L. Brownlee, United States Attorney, Jennifer
R. Bockhorst, Assistant United States Attorney, Abingdon, Virginia,
for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Shannon Justice appeals from the revocation of his supervised release and the imposition of a six-month prison term. We review for abuse of discretion the district court's decision to revoke a defendant's supervised release and impose a sentence after revocation. United States v. Wells, 163 F.3d 889, 898-99 (4th Cir. 1998); United States v. Davis, 53 F.3d 638, 642-43 (4th Cir. 1995). The district court may revoke a defendant's term of supervised release if the court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant violated a condition of supervised release. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3) (2000); United States v. Copley, 978 F.2d 829, 831 (4th Cir. 1992). There was sufficient evidence for the district court to revoke Justice's term of supervised release and sentence him to six months imprisonment. Finding no abuse of discretion, we affirm.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED