

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-1028

MONROE ROOSEVELT PARKER, JR.; GARY P. SIMMONS,
In the Matter of the Foreclosure of a Deed of
Trust executed by Gary P. Simmons, dated April
14, 2000 recorded in Book 1021, Page 628, Pitt
County Registry, H. Terry Hutchens, P.A.,
Substitute Trustee,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

DEBRA L. MILLIGAN; H. TERRY HUTCHENS; RANDY
DAVIS; COLDWELL BANKER LANDMARK PROPERTIES;
TINA LOVELADY; PENNY SIMPSON; NANCY BOONE;
PRUDENTIAL PRIME PROPERTIES; RICHARD LANE;
BRANCH BANKING & TRUST COMPANY; IRENE
FAULKNER; ANNETTE W. BOURGEOIS; JOHN H.
HARMON; MARY ANN FLEMING; HOME STEPS ASSET
SERVICES, Evictions; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA;
PITT COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT; CHARLENE S.
CORBETT; PITT COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S
OFFICE; FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE
CORPORATION,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of North Carolina, at New Bern. Malcolm J. Howard,
District Judge. (CA-03-81-4-H; CA-03-454-5-H)

Submitted: July 14, 2005

Decided: July 21, 2005

Before WILKINSON, LUTTIG, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Monroe Roosevelt Parker, Jr., Gary P. Simmons, Appellants Pro Se. H. Terry Hutchens, HUTCHENS, SENTER & BRITTON, Fayetteville, North Carolina; Wayne Shelton Boyette, ROUNTREE & BOYETTE, L.L.P., Tarboro, North Carolina; Conrad E. Paysour, III, MATTOX, DAVIS & BARNHILL, Greenville, North Carolina; Danny Arthur Harrington, HARRINGTON, BRADDY & ROMARY, L.L.P., Greenville, North Carolina; Cheryl A. Marteney, WARD & SMITH, P.A., New Bern, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Monroe Roosevelt Parker, Jr., and Gary P. Simmons appeal the district court's order dismissing this civil action for lack of standing. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Parker v. Milligan, Nos. CA-03-81-4-H; CA-03-454-5-H (E.D.N.C. Nov. 18, 2003). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED