

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-1153

RICHARD A. PATTERSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

THE CITY OF COLUMBIA, a municipality of the
State of South Carolina; PAUL MEAD, Detective;
G. STINNEY, Officer; JOE CRONIN, Sergeant,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Columbia. Patrick Michael Duffy, District
Judge. (CA-02-2336-3)

Submitted: June 24, 2004

Decided: June 29, 2004

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Richard A. Patterson, Appellant Pro Se. Robert Gordon Cooper,
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, Columbia, South Carolina, David Leon
Morrison, Matthew Blain Rosbrugh, DAVIDSON, MORRISON & LINDEMANN,
P.A., Columbia, South Carolina for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Richard A. Patterson appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Patterson v. The City of Columbia, No. CA-02-2336-3 (D.S.C. Dec. 29, 2003). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED