

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-1322

PAMELA PELZER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY; SOUTH CAROLINA
HOUSING AUTHORITY; WORKER COMPENSATION; BANK
OF AMERICA; FAMILY SERVICE; SOLOMAN SMITH
BARNEY; UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE; LEGAL
AID, Greenville, South Carolina; CITY HALL -
POLICE DEPARTMENT; MERRILL LYNCH INVESTMENT
FIRM; COLLETON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT; US
GRANT,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Charleston. Sol Blatt, Jr., Senior District
Judge. (CA-03-4035-2-08)

Submitted: April 29, 2004

Decided: May 5, 2004

Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Pamela Pelzer, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Pamela Pelzer appeals from the district court's order dismissing her civil action. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2000). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Pelzer that failure to timely file objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation. Despite this warning, Pelzer failed to object to the magistrate judge's recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned that failure to object will waive appellate review. See Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn , 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Pelzer has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED