

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-1357

AYNALEM WOLDETSADIK,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals. (A78-599-448)

Submitted: September 9, 2005 Decided: December 27, 2005

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Thomas Hailu, Arlington, Virginia, for Petitioner. Frank D. Whitney, United States Attorney, Anne M. Hayes, Joshua B. Royster, Assistant United States Attorneys, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Aynalem Woldetsadik, a native and citizen of Ethiopia, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board") denying her motion to reopen. We review the Board's denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a) (2005); INS v. Doherty, 502 U.S. 314, 323-24 (1992); Yanez-Popp v. INS, 998 F.2d 231, 234 (4th Cir. 1993). A denial of a motion to reopen must be reviewed with extreme deference, since immigration statutes do not contemplate reopening and the applicable regulations disfavor motions to reopen. M.A. v. INS, 899 F.2d 304, 308 (4th Cir. 1990) (en banc).

We note the Board did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to reopen as untimely or barred by the numerical limitation. In addition, we find the Board did not abuse its discretion in finding Woldetsadik did not support her claim of changed circumstances.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED