

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-2039

IBRAHIM AHMED SHARAK,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals. (A77-873-949)

Submitted: July 31, 2006

Decided: August 22, 2006

Before WILLIAMS, TRAXLER, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Mark Urbanski, LAW OFFICES OF MARK A. URBANSKI, Washington, D.C., for Petitioner. Rod J. Rosenstein, United States Attorney, Michael C. Hanlon, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Respondents.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Ibrahim Ahmed Sharak petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals adopting and affirming the immigration judge's orders denying asylum and denying his motion to reconsider.

Sharak disputes the immigration judge's finding that he was firmly resettled in the United Arab Emirates. We have reviewed the administrative record and find no error in the immigration judge's conclusion that Sharak was firmly resettled and thus ineligible for asylum. See 8 U.S.C. § 1158(b)(2)(A)(vi) (2000); Mussie v. INS, 172 F.3d 329, 331-32 (4th Cir. 1999). As the firm resettlement bar to asylum applies, Sharak is unable to establish any grounds that would warrant reconsideration.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED