

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 05-2165

---

I. B., a minor, by his parents and next  
friends Steven and Marla Baron; STEVEN BARON;  
MARLA BARON,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

JERRY D. WEAST, (officially as)  
Superintendent, Montgomery County Public  
Schools; MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-  
04-3308-PJM)

---

Submitted: May 10, 2006

Decided: May 24, 2006

---

Before WILKINS, Chief Judge, Williams, Circuit Judge, and HAMILTON,  
Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Michael J. Eig, Haylie M. Iseman, MICHAEL J. EIG & ASSOCIATES,  
P.C., Chevy Chase, Maryland, for Appellants. Jeffrey A. Krew,  
Ellicott City, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

I.B. and his parents, Steven and Marla Baron, sued the Montgomery County Board of Education and Jerry Weast, the Superintendent of the Montgomery County Public Schools, alleging violations of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1400-1487. The plaintiffs sought equitable and monetary relief on the grounds that the defendants failed to provide I.B. with a free appropriate public education for the 2003-2004 school year. The district court rejected the plaintiffs' claims, granting summary judgment in favor of the defendants. The plaintiffs appeal. After reviewing the parties' briefs, the record, and the district court's thorough ruling from the bench, we find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court for the reasons stated from the bench. See Baron v. Weast, Civil No. 04-3308 (D. Md. filed September 12, 2005 & entered September 13, 2005). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED