

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 05-4543**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

CHARLENE LOVONE SHADE, a/k/a Charlene Lovone  
Dunphy, a/k/a Charlene Lovone Arnold,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern  
District of West Virginia, at Martinsburg. David A. Faber, Chief  
District Judge. (CR-02-45)

---

Submitted: December 21, 2005

Decided: January 18, 2006

---

Before TRAXLER and SHEDD, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John G. Hackney, Jr., THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN G. HACKNEY, JR.,  
Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellant. Thomas E. Johnston,  
United States Attorney, Paul T. Camilletti, Assistant United States  
Attorney, Martinsburg, West Virginia, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Charlene Lovone Shade, a federal prisoner, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion. An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2255 proceeding unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that his constitutional claims are debatable and that any dispositive procedural rulings by the district court are also debatable or wrong. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Shade has not made the requisite showing.

Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED