

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 05-4923**

---

In Re: JOHN A. WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

---

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.  
(CR-02-535)

---

Submitted: November 22, 2005                      Decided: December 1, 2005

---

Before MOTZ, TRAXLER, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John A. Williams, Petitioner Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

John A. Williams petitions for writ of mandamus. He seeks an order compelling the district court to require production by the prosecutor of transcripts and other documents related to Williams' criminal conviction.

Mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. See In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Assn., 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Further, mandamus is a drastic remedy and should only be used in extraordinary circumstances. See Kerr v. United States Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); In re Beard, 811 F.2d 818, 826 (4th Cir. 1987). Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. See In re United Steelworkers, 595 F.2d 958, 960 (4th Cir. 1979).

The relief sought by Williams is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED