

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-6747

JOSE LOPEZ,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

S. K. YOUNG, Warden; RICHARD PHILLIPS; D. GREER, a/k/a Officer D. Greer, a/k/a Greek; SERGEANT BURTIN; LIEUTENANT RAYNOLDS, a/k/a Lieutenant Reynolds; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER KING; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER BUCHANAN; MAJOR YATES; COUNSELOR YEARY; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER BELLAMY; SERGEANT COLLINS; MICHAEL FLEMING, Correctional Officer, a/k/a Correctional Officer Fleming; TOMMY JACKSON, Correctional Officer, a/k/a Correctional Officer Jackson; PAUL OHAI, MD, a/k/a Doctor Ohai; TAMMY THOMAS, Nurse, a/k/a R.N. Ms. Thomas; DONNIE LESTER, Lieutenant, a/k/a Lieutenant Lester; SERGEANT BENTLEY; SERGEANT ANDERSON; F. WILLIS, Investigator; TERESA L. JOHNSON, a/k/a Medical Director T. Johnson; MR. PHILIPS, Assistant Warden of Programs; MS. BAKER, Counselor; KENNETH SLATER, MD, a/k/a Doctor Slater; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER BLILEY; BRIAN KISER, a/k/a Correctional Officer Kiser; R. A. YOUNG, Regional Director,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

SHERRY STAFFORD, Nurse; VICKY HARPER, Nurse, a/k/a Nurse Harper; MS. MCCURRY, R.N.; MS. BERRY, R.N.; DOCTOR SMITH; MEDICAL DEPARTMENT; GRIEVANCE DEPARTMENT; INSTITUTIONAL

ADMINISTRATORS, Wallens Ridge State Prison;
MS. MULLINS, R.N.,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of Virginia, at Roanoke. James C. Turk, Senior District
Judge. (CA-01-876)

Submitted: October 18, 2005 Decided: October 20, 2005

Before WIDENER, MICHAEL, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jose Lopez, Appellant Pro Se. Pamela Anne Sargent, Assistant
Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia; Edward Joseph McNelis, III,
John David McChesney, RAWLS & MCNELIS, P.C., Richmond, Virginia,
for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Jose Lopez appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Lopez v. Young, No. CA-01-876 (W.D. Va. filed Mar. 30, 2005; entered Mar. 31, 2005). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED