

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 07-1051**

---

MICHEL RIDGELY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ELAINE CHAO, Secretary, U.S. Department of  
Labor,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Gerald Bruce Lee, District  
Judge. (1:06-cv-00343-GBL-TC)

---

Submitted: October 29, 2007

Decided: December 6, 2007

---

Before MICHAEL, TRAXLER, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed in part; affirmed in part by unpublished per curiam  
opinion.

---

Stephen Domenic Scavuzzo, Vienna, Virginia, for Appellant. Chuck  
Rosenberg, United States Attorney, Lauren A. Wetzler, Assistant  
United States Attorney, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michel Ridgely appeals the district court's order granting Defendant summary judgment on Ridgely's employment discrimination and retaliation claims. Ridgely first challenges the transfer of his civil action from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. However, we do not have jurisdiction to review this issue because Ridgely failed to challenge the change of venue in the Eastern District of Virginia. Brock v. Entre Computer Ctrs., Inc., 933 F.2d 1253, 1257 (4th Cir. 1991). Accordingly, we dismiss Ridgely's appeal as to that issue.

Ridgely next contends the district court improperly concluded that he failed to exhaust his retaliation claim. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Ridgely v. Chao, No. 1:06-cv-00343-GBL-TC (E.D. Va., Dec. 29, 2006). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED IN PART;  
AFFIRMED IN PART