

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-6282

WILLIAM LEBRON ANDREW CHURCH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

U.S. GOVERNMENT; SECRETARY OF DEFENSE; U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL; ROBERT S. MUELLER, Director; SECRETARY, VETERANS AFFAIRS; WILLIAM J. DANIELS, (Bill), Recruiter; GENERAL COUNSEL AND STAFF; MICHAEL L. ALSTON, Director, U.S. Department of Justice; JOHN K. SINGLAUB; DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; R. C. NIXON, Ms.; TERRY GLENN, Mr.; DIRECTOR, CHAIRMAN, VIRGINIA PAROLE BOARD; C.A. COLLINS; VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, OMBUDSMAN; JUNE KIM BRIEL, Inspector General, Virginia Department of Corrections; VIRGINIA SECRETARY OF PUBLIC SAFETY; THOMAS W. WARREN, Judge, Circuit Court of Amelia County, Virginia; THOMAS STARK, Commonwealth Attorney; LEE R. HARRISON, Commonwealth Attorney; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA; ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA; DONALD FORD, Jr., Esquire; GRAHAM T. JENNINGS, Esquire; HUDSON, Mr., Esquire; CURTIS G. HUDSON, Esquire; WILLIAM NEWSOME, Esquire; R. CLINTON CLARY, Jr., Esquire; CHIEF JUDGE, Court of Appeals of Virginia; CHIEF JUDGE, Supreme Court of Virginia; RICHARD L. WILLIAMS, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia; KAREN J. WILLIAMS, Circuit Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit; DOES UNKNOWN, Unidentified,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, District Judge. (3:07-cv-00129-HEH)

Submitted: September 16, 2008

Decided: September 19, 2008

Before MOTZ, TRAXLER, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Lebron Andrew Church, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Lebron Andrew Church appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) (2000). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Church v. U.S. Gov't, No. 3:07-cv-00129-HEH (E.D. Va. Jan. 29, 2008). We deny Church's motions to appoint counsel and for other relief. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED