

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-6442

HUGH ROYAL EPPS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ROBERT WAGNER, Attorney,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 09-6445

HUGH ROYAL EPPS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GERALD THOMAS ZERKIN, Attorney,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, Chief
District Judge. (3:08-cv-00808-JRS; 3:08-cv-00807-JRS)

Submitted: May 21, 2009

Decided: June 1, 2009

Before MOTZ, TRAXLER, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Hugh Royal Epps, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Hugh Epps appeals district court orders dismissing his complaints without prejudice for failing to file in a timely manner a short plain statement of jurisdiction. Epps was free to amend the complaints to cure the defects. A dismissal without prejudice is not reviewable by this court unless the reasons stated for the dismissal clearly disclose that no amendment to the complaint could cure its defects. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 66-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Because Epps could cure the defect in each complaint, we dismiss the appeals. Id. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED