

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 09-7075**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JAMES CLAYTON LANDINGHAM,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Florence. C. Weston Houck, Senior District Judge. (4:02-cr-00274-CWH-3)

---

Submitted: November 19, 2009

Decided: December 2, 2009

---

Before MOTZ, GREGORY, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

James Clayton Landingham, Appellant Pro Se. Rose Mary Sheppard Parham, Assistant United States Attorney, Florence, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

James Clayton Landingham appeals the district court's order denying his motion for a reduction of sentence filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006). We have reviewed the record and find the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion. See United States v. Goines, 357 F.3d 469, 478 (4th Cir. 2004) (motion under § 3582(c) "is subject to the discretion of the district court"); United States v. Legree, 205 F.3d 724, 727 (4th Cir. 2000). Thus, we affirm the district court's order for the reasons stated there. See United States v. Landingham, No. 4:02-cr-00274-CWH-3 (D.S.C. filed May 22, entered May 26, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED