

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-1452**

---

ROSELLE JIMAI KILO,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney General,

Respondent.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

---

Submitted: January 13, 2011

Decided: February 15, 2011

---

Before KEENAN and WYNN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Danielle L. C. Beach-Oswald, BEACH-OSWALD IMMIGRATION LAW ASSOCIATES, PC, Washington, D.C., for Petitioner. Tony West, Assistant Attorney General, Jennifer P. Levings, Senior Litigation Counsel, Julia J. Tyler, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Roselle Jimai Kilo, a native and citizen of Cameroon, came to the United States on December 23, 2005, as a visitor and overstayed her visa. On July 12, 2007, an Immigration Judge denied her application for asylum or withholding of removal. Kilo subsequently appealed, and that appeal was dismissed by the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) on June 15, 2009. She now petitions for review of an order of the Board denying her motion to reconsider.

The Board's decision to grant or deny a motion to reconsider is reviewed for abuse of discretion. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a) (2010); Jean v. Gonzales, 435 F.3d 475, 481 (4th Cir. 2006). We have reviewed the administrative record and find no abuse of discretion in the denial of relief on Kilo's motion. To prevail in a motion to reconsider, a petitioner must point to specific errors of law or fact in the Board's decision. See Ogundipe v. Mukasey, 541 F.3d 257, 263 (4th Cir. 2008). Petitioner's brief alleges no such errors and instead urges a reevaluation of the Board's conclusions. We accordingly deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. See In re: Kilo (B.I.A. Mar. 22, 2010). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately

presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED