

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-1493

MARCELINO SULEKOPA PAPA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

Submitted: November 18, 2010 Decided: December 13, 2010

Before MOTZ, SHEDD, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Payne, LEE & ASSOCIATES, College Park, Maryland, for
Petitioner. Tony West, Assistant Attorney General, John C.
Cunningham, Senior Litigation Counsel, Briena L. Strippoli,
Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Marcelino Sulekopa Papa, a native and citizen of Equatorial Guineau, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals dismissing his appeal from the Immigration Judge's denial of his applications for relief from removal.

Papa first challenges the determination that he failed to establish eligibility for asylum. To obtain reversal of a determination denying eligibility for relief, an alien "must show that the evidence he presented was so compelling that no reasonable factfinder could fail to find the requisite fear of persecution." INS v. Elias-Zacarias, 502 U.S. 478, 483-84 (1992). We have reviewed the evidence of record and conclude that Papa fails to show that the evidence compels a contrary result. Having failed to qualify for asylum, he cannot meet the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. Chen v. INS, 195 F.3d 198, 205 (4th Cir. 1999) (citing INS v. Cardoza-Fonseca, 480 U.S. 421, 430-32 (1987)). Finally, we uphold the finding below that Papa failed to demonstrate that it is more likely than not that he would be tortured if removed to Equatorial Guineau. 8 C.F.R. § 1208.16(c)(2) (2010).

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED