

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-2303**

---

In Re: CHRISTOPHER LEONARD OLSZOWY; ANNA OLSZOWY,  
Petitioners.

---

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.  
(9:09-cv-01662-PMD-bm)

---

Submitted: December 21, 2010                      Decided: January 3, 2011

---

Before NIEMEYER and KEENAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Christopher Leonard Olszowy; Anna Olszowy, Petitioners Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher and Anna Olszowy petition for a writ of mandamus seeking an order invalidating the district court's order denying their motion for a stay. We conclude that the Olszowys are not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); United States v. Moussaoui, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. In re Lockheed Martin Corp., 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007).

The relief sought by the Olszowys is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED