

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-4449**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL PAUL GALLIMORE,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. N. Carlton Tilley, Jr., Senior District Judge. (1:09-cr-00116-NCT-1)

---

Submitted: October 21, 2010

Decided: November 12, 2010

---

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Charles H. Harp, II, CHARLES H. HARP, II, P.C., Lexington, North Carolina, for Appellant. Graham Tod Green, Assistant United States Attorney, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael Gallimore pled guilty to conspiracy to distribute 500 grams or more of cocaine hydrochloride, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(1)(B) (2006). The district court sentenced Gallimore to 169 months' imprisonment. Gallimore timely appealed.

Gallimore's attorney has filed a brief in accordance with Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), questioning the reasonableness of Gallimore's sentence. Counsel states, however, that he has found no meritorious grounds for appeal. Gallimore received notice of his right to file a pro se supplemental brief, but did not file one. Because we find no meritorious grounds for appeal, we affirm.

Here, counsel does not assert that the district court erred in determining the applicable Guidelines range, and our review of the record reveals no error. Gallimore was sentenced to a term of imprisonment that fell within the middle of his Guidelines range, and we conclude this sentence is reasonable.

In accordance with Anders, we have reviewed the record in this case and have found no meritorious issues for appeal. We therefore affirm the district court's judgment. This court requires that counsel inform Gallimore, in writing, of the right to petition the Supreme Court of the United States for further review. If Gallimore requests that a petition be filed, but

counsel believes that such a petition would be frivolous, then counsel may move in this court for leave to withdraw from representation. Counsel's motion must state that a copy thereof was served on Gallimore.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED