

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 11-2203**

---

MICHAEL A. SCOTT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF NORFOLK; REGINA V.K. WILLIAMS; BERNARD A. PISHKO; K.  
HANNAN; S. MICHAEL; E. ZYSK,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District  
Judge. (2:10-cv-00611-RAJ-DEM)

---

Submitted: February 14, 2012

Decided: February 23, 2012

---

Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Michael A. Scott, Appellant Pro Se. Melvin Wayne Ringer, CITY  
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael A. Scott appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Scott v. City of Norfolk, No. 2:10-cv-00611-RAJ-DEM (E.D. Va. Sept. 30, 2011). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED