

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-1134**

---

MICHAEL EUGENE PITTS; ARTHUR EUGENE PITTS, JR., a/k/a Sean  
Michael Pitts; DEBRA LYNN PITTS-RYLES; STEPHANIE PITTS-EVANS

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

RICHARD GLASSER, Esq. et al; BETH VAUGHN, Esq.; HORTENSIA  
PITTS; RANDOLPH CARLSON, II, Esq.

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Mark S. Davis, District  
Judge. (2:11-cv-00337-MSD-DEM)

---

Submitted: April 26, 2012

Decided: April 30, 2012

---

Before GREGORY, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Michael Eugene Pitts; Arthur Eugene Pitts, Jr.; Debra Lynn  
Pitts-Ryles; Stephanie Pitts-Evans, Appellants Pro Se. James  
Arthur Cales, III, FURNISS, DAVIS, RASHKIND & SAUNDERS, Norfolk,  
Virginia; Jerome David Crain, Jr., WILLCOX & SAVAGE, PC,  
Norfolk, Virginia; Farnaz Farkish, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael Eugene Pitts, Arthur Eugene Pitts, Jr., Debra Lynn Pitts-Ryles, and Stephanie Pitts-Evans appeal the district court's order granting the Defendants' motion to dismiss their civil complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Pitts v. Glasser, No. 2:11-cv-00337-MSD-DEM (E.D. Va. Dec. 23, 2011). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED