

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-1688**

---

MUD LICK MINING CORPORATION; AMERICAN MINING INSURANCE  
COMPANY,

Petitioners,

v.

CHARLES R. HASH; DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
PROGRAMS, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Respondents.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Benefits Review Board.  
(11-0402 BLA)

---

Submitted: March 28, 2014

Decided: April 11, 2014

---

Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John R. Sigmond, PENN, STUART & ESKRIDGE, Bristol, Tennessee,  
for Petitioners. Joseph E. Wolfe, Ryan C. Gilligan, WOLFE,  
WILLIAMS, RUTHERFORD & REYNOLDS, Norton, Virginia; M. Patricia  
Smith, Solicitor of Labor, Rae Ellen James, Associate Solicitor,  
Gary K. Stearman, Appellate Litigation, Jonathan P. Rolfe,  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, Washington, D.C., for  
Respondents.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Mud Lick Mining Corporation and American Mining Insurance Company seek review of the Benefits Review Board's decision and order affirming the administrative law judge's award of black lung benefits pursuant to 30 U.S.C. §§ 901-945 (2012). We have reviewed the parties' briefs and the record on appeal and conclude that the Board's decision is based upon substantial evidence and is without reversible error. Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. Mud Lick Mining Corp. v. Hash, No. 11-0402 BLA (B.R.B. Mar. 29, 2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED