

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6478

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BARRY LEWIS MATTHEWS,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of Virginia, at Lynchburg. James C. Turk, Senior
District Judge. (6:94-cr-70077-JCT-1)

Submitted: July 26, 2012

Decided: August 1, 2012

Before MOTZ, DAVIS, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Barry Lewis Matthews, Appellant Pro Se. Donald Ray Wolthuis,
Assistant United States Attorney, Roanoke, Virginia, for
Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Barry Lewis Matthews appeals the district court's order denying his motion for reduction of sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006) and a subsequent order denying reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error.* Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. United States v. Matthews, No. 6:94-cr-70077-JCT-1 (W.D. Va. Mar. 6, 2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* The district court did not have authority to grant the motion to reconsider, because a motion to reconsider is not a proper vehicle to seek review of a ruling on a § 3582 motion. United States v. Goodwyn, 596 F.3d 233, 235-36 (4th Cir. 2010).