

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-1596**

---

DAVID BACH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNMENT; EDWARD CHRISCOE, Public  
Defender,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Florence. Mary G. Lewis, District Judge.  
(4:14-cv-00073-MGL)

---

Submitted: October 2, 2014

Decided: December 31, 2014

---

Before MOTZ, GREGORY, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

David Bach, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David Bach appeals the district court's order denying relief on his complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2012). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Bach that failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Bach has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED