

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-1733**

---

In re: GARY WARREN HANCOCK, JR.,

Petitioner.

---

On Petitions for Writ of Mandamus.  
(8:13-cr-00274-RWT-1)

---

Submitted: September 23, 2014                      Decided: September 25, 2014

---

Before NIEMEYER and GREGORY, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON,  
Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Petitions denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Gary Warren Hancock, Jr., Petitioner Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Gary Warren Hancock, Jr., petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order directing the recusal of the district court judge and a change of venue. We conclude that Hancock is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. Kerr v. United States Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); United States v. Moussaoui, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought, In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988), and when there are no other means by which the relief sought could be granted. In re Beard, 811 F.2d 818, 826 (4th Cir. 1987).

Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petitions for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITIONS DENIED