

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-2042**

---

ATUL SHARMA,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

---

Submitted: August 7, 2015

Decided: August 18, 2015

---

Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Mark A. Mancini, WASSERMAN, MANCINI & CHANG, Washington, D.C., for Petitioner. Benjamin C. Mizer, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Shelley R. Goad, Assistant Director, Kristen Giuffreda Chapman, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Atul Sharma, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals, denying his motion to reconsider. We deny the petition for review.

A motion to reconsider must specify the errors of law or fact in the Board's prior decision. See 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(6)(C) (2012); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b) (2015). We review the denial of a motion to reconsider for abuse of discretion and will reverse the Board's decision only if it is arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law. Narine v. Holder, 559 F.3d 246, 249 (4th Cir. 2009).

We conclude that the Board did not abuse its discretion in finding Sharma did not show there was an error of law or fact in the prior decision and denying the motion to reconsider. Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED