

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-2080

CATHERINE DENISE RANDOLPH,
Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

NEW TECHNOLOGY,
Defendant - Appellee.

No. 14-2108

CATHERINE DENISE RANDOLPH,
Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BALTIMORE CITY STATES ATTORNEY,
Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District
of Maryland, at Baltimore. Ellen L. Hollander, William D.
Quarles, Jr., District Judges. (1:14-cv-03068-ELH; 1:14-cv-
03176-WDQ)

Submitted: December 16, 2014

Decided: December 18, 2014

Before DUNCAN and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Catherine Denise Randolph, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Catherine Denise Randolph appeals the district court's orders dismissing her two complaints as frivolous and for failure to state a claim. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Randolph's motion and affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Randolph v. New Tech., No. 1:14-cv-03068-ELH (D. Md. Oct. 3, 2014); Randolph v. Balt. City States Att'y, No. 1:14-cv-03176-WDQ (D. Md. Oct. 14, 2014). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED