

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-6407**

---

HOBERT GREGORY REDMON,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

CARLTON JOYNER, Administrator, Harnett Correctional  
institution,

Respondent - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western  
District of North Carolina, at Statesville. Frank D. Whitney,  
Chief District Judge. (5:13-cv-00086-FDW)

---

Submitted: June 26, 2014

Decided: June 30, 2014

---

Before WILKINSON, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Hobert Gregory Redmon, Appellant Pro Se. Clarence Joe DelForge,  
III, NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Raleigh, North  
Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Hobert Gregory Redmon seeks to appeal the district court's order granting summary judgment for Respondent on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded thirty days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on November 1, 2013. The notice of appeal was filed on March 10, 2014.\* Because Redmon failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We deny Redmon's motion for release and damages. We dispense with oral argument because the

---

\* For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date appearing on the notice of appeal is the earliest date it could have been properly delivered to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c); Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).

facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED