

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1214**

---

STANLEY GARDNER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RAYMOND EDWIN MABUS, JR., Secretary of the Navy,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Arenda L. Wright Allen, District Judge. (2:14-cv-00352-AWA-TEM)

---

Submitted: June 25, 2015

Decided: June 29, 2015

---

Before FLOYD and THACKER, Circuit Judges.\*

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Stanley Gardner, Appellant Pro Se. Mark Anthony Exley, Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia; Wyneva Johnson, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
PER CURIAM:

---

\* The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 46(d) (2012).

Stanley Gardner appeals the district court's order granting Defendant's summary judgment motion on Gardner's employment discrimination claims, brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (2012), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C.A. §§ 701 to 7961 (West 2008 & Supp. 2014), and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 to 634 (2012). On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Gardner's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Gardner has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. Accordingly, although we grant Gardner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED