

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-2479**

---

MONICA JEFFRIES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GAYLORD ENTERTAINMENT; GAYLORD NATIONAL RESORT AND  
CONVENTION CENTER,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, Senior District  
Judge. (8:10-cv-00691-PJM)

---

Submitted: March 17, 2016

Decided: March 21, 2016

---

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Monica Jeffries, Appellant Pro Se. Jay Paul Holland, Levi S.  
Zaslow, JOSEPH, GREENWALD & LAAKE, PA, Greenbelt, Maryland, for  
Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Monica Jeffries appeals from the district court's judgment in Defendants' favor on her disability discrimination and retaliation claims, brought pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 to 12213 (2012). Appellees have moved to dismiss the appeal. The district court's judgment was entered in 2013, affirmed by this court in 2013, and the Supreme Court denied Jeffries' petition for writ of certiorari in 2014. The district court's judgment is not subject to relitigation before this court. See Patterson v. City of Newport News, 364 F.2d 816, 818 (4th Cir. 1966) ("That judgment having become final with the Supreme Court's dismissal of the appeal and denial of certiorari, it is not subject to relitigation in the lower federal courts."). Because we have previously affirmed the district court's judgment, the appeal is duplicative.

To the extent Jeffries' appellate filings could be construed as a challenge to this court's 2013 order affirming the district court's judgment, the time for filing a rehearing petition expired long ago. See Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1) ("Unless the time is shortened or extended by order or local rule, a petition for panel rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment."). Accordingly, we grant Appellees' motion and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument

because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED